

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

11 August 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

SUBJECT : Comments on [] History

STATINT

STATINTL

1. [] have read the CT History and their comments are attached. Although Bob has not commented in detail, both he and Jim feel that the author has inserted too much opinion to make this an objective history. I agree. Jim cites some examples. Another might be the gratuitous comments on [] (p 104). Also the comment on p 107 that only Chiefs of the Operations School most frequently argued with the DTR because they had safe havens of retreat sounds silly. For example, if [] argued with Matt I question whether this was the reason.

STATINT

STATINT

2. Some other minor points that caught my eye:

a. p 93 Is it the intent of the 1970 Organization Chart to show that CTP is not at the same level as OTR schools.

b. p 111 Was it actually assumed that "Professional Trainees" would be prepared for assignment only with non-clandestine components.

STATINT

c. p 121 While there may not have been a regular PM course the training capability has always existed []

d. p 138 To say that the JOT Staff knew the Ops instructors well is saying too much. For example, I can't recall working closely with the JOT Staff during my time as an instructor. Line 1: the term was Murder Board not Murder Session.

e. p 101 line 12, extend should read extent.

ADMINISTRATIVE - INTERNAL USE ONLY

STATINTL

SUBJECT: Comments on [] History

3. If this paper is published I think it should be published as one man's view not as an official history. I found the paper interesting and in my present position some of it useful.

[]
Chief, Career Training Program

STATINT

Atts

SECRETARY OF DEFENSE